

## INVARIANCE OF FUZZY MEASURE

Aoi Honda and Yoshiaki Okazaki

(Received November 30, 1998)

### 1. Introduction

A non-negative monotone set function defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is called fuzzy measure. Let  $g$  and  $\mu$  be fuzzy measures. We say  $g$  is  $\mu$ -originated if there exists a non-decreasing function  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $g(A) = f(\mu(A)) = (f \circ \mu)(A)$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . We also say  $g$  is  $\mu$ -invariant if  $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$  implies  $g(A) = g(B)$  for  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ . If  $g$  is  $\mu$ -originated then  $g$  is  $\mu$ -invariant. In this paper, we consider the converse. We show that if  $g$  is  $\mu$ -invariant and if  $\mu$  has the Darboux property, then  $g$  is  $\mu$ -originated.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ , that is,  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies the following conditions:

- 1)  $\phi, X \in \mathcal{B}$ ,
- 2) if  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $A^c \in \mathcal{B}$ , and
- 3) if  $A_n \in \mathcal{B}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{B}$ .

DEFINITION 1. The set function  $g: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a fuzzy measure if  $g$  satisfies that

- 1)  $g(\phi) = 0, g(X) = 1$  and that
- 2)  $A \subset B, A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ , implies that  $g(A) \leq g(B)$  (the monotonicity).

DEFINITION 2. The set function  $g: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a probability measure if  $g$  satisfies that

- 1)  $g(\phi) = 0, g(X) = 1$  and that
- 2)  $A \cap B = \phi, A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ , implies that  $g(A \cup B) = g(A) + g(B)$ .

DEFINITION 3. Let  $g, \mu$  be two fuzzy measures. We say that  $g$  is  $\mu$ -invariant if  $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$  ( $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ ) implies that  $g(A) = g(B)$ .

DEFINITION 4. Let  $g, \mu$  be two fuzzy measures. We say that  $g$  is strongly  $\mu$ -invariant if  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$  ( $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ ) implies that  $g(A) \leq g(B)$ .

DEFINITION 5. Let  $g, \mu$  be two fuzzy measures. We say that  $g$  is  $\mu$ -originated if there exists a non-decreasing function  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $g(A) = f(\mu(A)) = (f \circ \mu)(A)$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ .

DEFINITION 6. We say that the fuzzy measure  $g$  satisfies the countable chain condition if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- 1)  $A_n \uparrow A$  ( $A_n, A \in \mathcal{B}$ ) implies that  $g(A_n) \uparrow g(A)$ , and
- 2)  $A_n \downarrow A$  ( $A_n, A \in \mathcal{B}$ ) implies that  $g(A_n) \downarrow g(A)$ .

It is clear that if the fuzzy measure  $g$  is  $\mu$ -originated, then  $g$  is strongly  $\mu$ -invariant. If  $g$  is strongly  $\mu$ -invariant then  $g$  is also  $\mu$ -invariant as the following Lemma shows.

LEMMA 1. Let  $g, \mu$  be two fuzzy measures. If  $g$  is strongly  $\mu$ -invariant then  $g$  is  $\mu$ -invariant.

PROOF. Suppose that  $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$ , that is,  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$  and  $\mu(A) \geq \mu(B)$ . Then by the strong  $\mu$ -invariance, it follows that  $g(A) \leq g(B)$  and  $g(A) \geq g(B)$ , which shows  $g(A) = g(B)$ .

### 3. Invariance

Let  $g$  be a fuzzy measure defined on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . We set the range  $R(g)$  of  $g$  as follows:

$$R(g) = \{g(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{B}\} \subset [0, 1].$$

Suppose that  $g$  is  $\mu$ -invariant. Then we can define the function

$$f: R(\mu) \rightarrow R(g), \quad f(\mu(A)) = g(A).$$

In fact, if  $t = \mu(A) = \mu(B) \in R(\mu)$ , then by the  $\mu$ -invariance of  $g$ , it follows that  $g(A) = g(B)$ . Thus it holds that  $f(\mu(A)) = f(\mu(B)) = f(t)$ , hence  $f$  is well-defined. To assure the strong  $\mu$ -invariance, we must show the followings:

- 1)  $f: R(\mu) \rightarrow R(g)$  is non-decreasing, and
- 2)  $f: R(\mu) \rightarrow R(g)$  is extensible to a non-decreasing function on  $[0, 1]$  into  $[0, 1]$ .

THEOREM 1.  $g$  is  $\mu$ -originated if and only if  $g$  is strongly  $\mu$ -invariant.

PROOF. Define  $f: R(\mu) \rightarrow R(g)$ ,  $f(\mu(A)) = g(A)$  as above. Since  $g$  is strongly  $\mu$ -invariant,  $f$  is non-decreasing on  $R(\mu)$ . We shall extend  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$  as follows: for each  $t \in [0, 1]$  we set

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \inf \{ f(\mu(A)) \mid t \leq \mu(A), A \in \mathcal{B} \} \\ &= \inf \{ f(s) \mid t \leq s, s \in R(\mu) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $f$  is non-decreasing and  $g(A) = f(\mu(A))$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . This completes the proof.

Now we consider a condition with which  $\mu$ -invariance implies  $\mu$ -originatedness.

**DEFINITION 7.** We say that the fuzzy measure  $\mu$  has the Darboux property if for every  $s, t \in R(\mu)$  with  $s < t$ , there exist  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$  satisfying  $A \subset B$ ,  $\mu(A) = s$  and  $\mu(B) = t$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Suppose that the fuzzy measure  $\mu$  has the Darboux property. If the fuzzy measure  $g$  is  $\mu$ -invariant, then  $g$  is also  $\mu$ -originated.

**PROOF.** Let  $f: R(\mu) \rightarrow R(g)$  be  $f(\mu(A)) = g(A)$  for  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . We show  $f$  is non-decreasing on  $R(\mu)$ . For every  $s < t$ ,  $s, t \in R(\mu)$ , by the Darboux property, there exist  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $A \subset B$ ,  $s = \mu(A)$  and  $t = \mu(B)$ . Then we have  $f(s) = g(A) \leq g(B) = f(t)$ , which implies the assertion. By the manner same to the proof of Theorem 1,  $f$  is extended to the non-decreasing function on  $[0, 1]$  and satisfies  $g = f \circ \mu$ . This completes the Proof.

In the preceding two theorems, we can not expect the continuity of the intertwining function  $f$  between  $g$  and  $\mu$ . In the sequel of this section, we investigate the continuity of  $f$ .

**DEFINITION 8.** We say that the fuzzy measure  $\mu$  has the strong Darboux property if  $\mu$  satisfy the following two conditions:

- 1) for every  $s < t$ ,  $s, t \in R(\mu)$  and for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $s = \mu(A)$ , there exists  $C \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $C \supset A$  with  $t = \mu(C)$ , and
- 2) for every  $s < t$ ,  $s, t \in R(\mu)$  and for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $t = \mu(B)$ , there exists  $D \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $D \subset B$  with  $s = \mu(D)$ .

**LEMMA 2.** Suppose that  $\mu$  has the strong Darboux property and that  $\mu$  satisfies the countable chain condition. Then the range  $R(\mu)$  is closed in  $[0, 1]$ .

**PROOF.** Denote by  $\overline{R(\mu)}$  the closure of  $R(\mu)$  in  $[0, 1]$ . For every  $t$  in  $\overline{R(\mu)}$ , there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\} \subset R(\mu)$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow t$ . Taking a subsequence, we can assume that  $t_n \uparrow t$  (increasing) or  $t_n \downarrow t$  (decreasing).

(1) the case  $t_n \uparrow t$  (increasing): We put  $t_n = \mu(A_n) \uparrow t$  for some  $A_n$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . By the strong Darboux property, we can find  $B_n$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots \subset B_n \subset B_{n+1} \subset \dots$  and  $t_n = \mu(B_n)$ . By the countable chain condition, it follows that  $\mu(A_n) =$

$\mu(B_n) \uparrow \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = t$ , so  $t \in R(\mu)$ , which proves  $\overline{R(\mu)} \subset R(\mu)$ . Thus it holds that  $\overline{R(\mu)} = R(\mu)$ .

(2) the case  $t_n \downarrow t$  (decreasing): Let  $t_n = \mu(A_n) \downarrow t$  for some  $A_n$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . By the strong Darboux property, we can find  $C_n$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $C_1 \supset C_2 \supset \dots \supset C_n \supset C_{n+1} \supset \dots$  and  $t_n = \mu(C_n)$ . By the countable chain condition, it follows that  $\mu(A_n) = \mu(C_n) \downarrow \mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n) = t$ , so  $t \in R(\mu)$ , which proves  $\overline{R(\mu)} \subset R(\mu)$ . Thus it holds that  $R(\mu) = \overline{R(\mu)}$ . This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 3.** Suppose that  $g$  and  $\mu$  are two fuzzy measures on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  which satisfy the countable chain conditions. Suppose also that  $\mu$  has the strong Darboux property. If  $g$  is  $\mu$ -invariant then  $g$  is  $\mu$ -originated. Furthermore, we can find a continuous intertwining function  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $g(A) = f(\mu(A))$  for  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ .

**PROOF.** (1) Let  $f: R(\mu) \rightarrow R(g)$  be  $f(\mu(A)) = g(A)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $f$  is non-decreasing on  $R(\mu)$  by Theorem 2 and  $R(\mu)$  is closed by Lemma 2. We show that  $f$  is continuous on  $R(\mu)$ . Let  $t_n = \mu(A_n) \rightarrow t = \mu(A)$  in  $R(\mu)$ . Taking a subsequence, we can suppose that  $t_n \uparrow t$  or  $t_n \downarrow t$ . If  $t_n \uparrow t$ , then by the manner same to the proof of Lemma 2, there exists an increasing sequence  $\{B_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mu(A_n) = \mu(B_n) = t_n$  and  $\mu(A) = \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = t$ . By the countable chain condition for  $g$ , we have  $g(B_n) \uparrow g(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = f(\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n)) = f(t)$ . So we have  $f(t_n) = f(\mu(B_n)) = g(B_n) \uparrow f(t)$ . In the case of  $t_n \downarrow t$ , we can show  $f(t_n) \downarrow f(t)$  similarly, see the second part in the proof of Lemma 2. Hence  $f$  is continuous.

(2) We shall extend  $f$  to the whole interval  $[0, 1]$  continuously and non-decreasingly as follows. Since the complementary set  $U = [0, 1] \setminus R(\mu)$  is open,  $U$  is written by the countable disjoint union of open intervals as  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n)$ . The end points  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are in the closed set  $R(\mu)$ . Since  $a_n < b_n$  and  $f$  is non-decreasing on  $R(\mu)$ , it follows that  $f(a_n) \leq f(b_n)$ . In the interval  $(a_n, b_n)$ , we set  $f$  be the straight line connecting the points  $(a_n, f(a_n))$  and  $(b_n, f(b_n))$  in  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . since  $f$  is non-decreasing and continuous on the closed set  $R(\mu)$ , the extended function is also continuous and non-decreasing on  $[0, 1]$ . This completes the proof.

#### 4. Darboux Property

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfying the countable chain condition, that is,  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -additive. A set  $E$  is called an atom if  $\mu(E) > 0$  and if for every set  $A$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $A \subset E$ , we have either  $\mu(A) = 0$  or  $\mu(A) = \mu(E)$ .  $\mu$  is called non-atomic if there exists no atom in  $\mathcal{B}$ . It is well known that if  $\mu$  is non-atomic, then the range  $R(\mu)$  equals  $[0, 1]$ , and moreover it holds that for every  $E$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\{\mu(A) \mid A \subset E, A \in \mathcal{B}\} = [0, \mu(E)]$ , see Dinculeanu [1], §2, Proposition 7, Halmos [2], §41, Exer-

cises (1)-(3). From this fact, it follows that a non-atomic  $\sigma$ -aditive probability measure satisfies the strong Darboux property (Definition 8). In the case where  $\mu$  is a fuzzy measure, the notion of the null set is defined as follows.

DEFINITION 9. Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then a subset  $N$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  is called a  $\mu$ -null set if  $\mu(A \cup N) = \mu(A)$  for every  $A$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , see Sugeno and Murofushi [3], 9.5.2.

Taking account of this definition, we shall define the notion of the atom for a fuzzy measure.

DEFINITION 10. Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . A set  $E$  is called an atom if  $E$  is not a  $\mu$ -null set and if there exists a set  $U$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  disjoint from  $E$  such that for every  $C \subset E$ ,  $C \in \mathcal{B}$ , it holds either  $\mu(U \cup C) = \mu(U)$  or  $\mu(U \cup C) = \mu(U \cup E)$ .

DEFINITION 11. A fuzzy measure  $\mu$  on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is called non-atomic if there exists no atom in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

THEOREM 4. Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . Suppose that  $\mu$  is non-atomic and that  $\mu$  satisfies the countable chain condition.

(1) For every  $E$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  and every  $\alpha$  with  $\mu(E) \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , there exists an element  $F$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $E \subset F$  and  $\mu(F) = \alpha$ .

(2) For every  $E$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  and every  $\alpha$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \mu(E)$ , there exists an element  $G$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $G \subset E$  and  $\mu(G) = \alpha$ .

In particular,  $\mu$  satisfies the strong Darboux property.

PROOF. (1) Our proof is very similar to that of Dinclleanu [1], §2, Proposition 7. By induction, we shall find two sequences  $\{F_n\}$  and  $\{G_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  having the following properties:

$$1) E \subset G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \dots \subset G_n \subset \dots \subset F_n \subset \dots \subset F_2 \subset F_1 \subset F_0 \subset X,$$

2) if we put

$$u_n = \inf \{ \mu(H) \mid G_{n-1} \subset H \subset F_{n-1}, \mu(H) \geq \alpha \},$$

$$v_n = \sup \{ \mu(K) \mid G_{n-1} \subset K \subset F_n, \mu(K) \leq \alpha \},$$

then the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is decreasing, the sequence  $\{v_n\}$  is increasing and we have  $v_n \leq \alpha \leq u_n$  for every  $n$ ,

3) there exist two sequences  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\eta_n \rightarrow 0$  such that

$$u_n \leq \mu(F_n) < u_n + \varepsilon_n, v_n - \eta_n < \mu(G_n) \leq v_n.$$

We fix a sequence  $r_n \downarrow 0$  in advance. We set

$$u_0 = \inf \{ \mu(H) \mid E \subset H, \mu(H) \geq \alpha \}.$$

Then  $\alpha \leq u_0 \leq 1$  and for  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , there exists a set  $F_0$  with

$$E \subset F_0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_0 \leq \mu(F_0) < u_0 + \varepsilon_0.$$

We set

$$v_0 = \sup \{ \mu(K) \mid E \subset K \subset F_0, \mu(K) \leq \alpha \}.$$

Then  $\mu(E) \leq v_0 \leq \alpha$  and for  $\eta_0 > 0$ , there exists a set  $G_0$  with

$$E \subset G_0 \subset F_0 \quad \text{and} \quad v_0 - \eta_0 < \mu(G_0) \leq v_0.$$

Let  $u_1$  be

$$u_1 = \inf \{ \mu(H) \mid G_0 \subset H \subset F_0, \mu(H) \geq \alpha \}.$$

Then  $u_0 \leq u_1 < u_0 + \varepsilon_0$ . If we take small  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  as  $\varepsilon_1 < r_1$  and as  $u_1 + \varepsilon_1 < u_0 + \varepsilon_0$ , then there exists a set  $F_1$  with

$$G_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_1 \leq \mu(F_1) \leq u_1 + \varepsilon_1.$$

Let  $v_1$  be

$$v_1 = \sup \{ \mu(K) \mid G_0 \subset K \subset F_1, \mu(K) \leq \alpha \}.$$

Then  $v_0 - \eta_0 < v_1 \leq v_0$  since  $E \subset G_0 \subset K \subset F_1 \subset F_0$ . If we take small  $\eta_1$  as  $\eta_1 < r_1$  and as  $v_0 - \eta_0 < v_1 - \eta_1$ , then there exists a set  $G_1$  such that

$$G_0 \subset G_1 \subset F_1 \quad \text{and} \quad v_1 - \eta_1 < \mu(G_1) \leq v_1.$$

Suppose that the sets  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n, G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n$  and the sequences  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$  are obtained satisfying 1), 2), and 3). We set

$$u_{n+1} = \inf \{ \mu(H) \mid G_n \subset H \subset F_n, \mu(H) \geq \alpha \}.$$

Then  $u_n \leq u_{n+1} < u_n + \varepsilon_n$ . If we take small  $\varepsilon_{n+1}$  as  $\varepsilon_{n+1} < r_{n+1}$  and as  $u_{n+1} + \varepsilon_{n+1} < u_n + \varepsilon_n$ , there exists a set  $F_{n+1}$  such that

$$G_n \subset F_{n+1} \subset F_n \quad \text{and} \quad u_{n+1} \leq \mu(F_{n+1}) < u_{n+1} + \varepsilon_{n+1}.$$

Now we set

$$v_{n+1} = \sup \{ \mu(K) \mid G_n \subset K \subset F_{n+1}, \mu(K) \leq \alpha \}.$$

Then  $v_n - \eta_n < v_{n+1} \leq v_n$ . If we take small  $\eta_{n+1} > 0$  as  $\eta_{n+1} < r_{n+1}$  and as  $v_n - \eta_n < v_{n+1} - \eta_{n+1}$ , there exists a set  $G_{n+1}$  such that

$$G_n \subset G_{n+1} \subset F_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad v_{n+1} - \eta_{n+1} < \mu(G_{n+1}) \leq v_{n+1}.$$

This completes the induction.

Let  $\inf u_n = u$  and  $\sup v_n = v$ . Then we have  $\mu(E) \leq v \leq \alpha \leq u$ . Put  $F = \bigcap F_n$  and  $G = \bigcup G_n$ , then  $G_n \subset G \subset F \subset F_n$ . By the countable chain condition, it follows that  $\mu(G) = \lim \mu(G_n) = v$  and  $\mu(F) = \lim \mu(F_n) = u$ . Suppose that  $F \setminus G$  is not a  $\mu$ -null set. Then for every  $C$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $C \subset F \setminus G$ , we have  $G_n \subset G \subset G \cup C \subset F \subset F_n$  for every  $n$ . If  $\mu(G \cup C) \leq \alpha$ , then by 2) and 3),  $v_n - \eta_n < \mu(G \cup C) \leq v_{n+1}$ , which implies that  $\mu(G \cup C) = v = \mu(G)$ . If  $\mu(G \cup C) \geq \alpha$ , then by 2) and 3), it follows that  $u_{n+1} \leq \mu(G \cup C) < u_n + \varepsilon_n$ , which implies that  $\mu(G \cup C) = u = \mu(F) = \mu(G \cup (F \setminus G))$ . Thus the set  $F \setminus G$  is an atom, which contradicts to our assumption. Hence the set  $F \setminus G$  is a  $\mu$ -null set. So we obtain  $v = \mu(G) = \mu(F) = u = \alpha$ . This proves (1).

(2) In this case, by the manner completely same to Dincléanu [1], §2, Proposition 7, we can find the sequences  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfying the following conditions:

4)  $A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \dots \subset A_n \subset \dots \subset B_n \subset \dots \subset B_1 \subset B_0 \subset E$ ,

5) If we put

$$a_n = \sup \{ \mu(A) \mid A_{n-1} \subset A \subset B_{n-1}, \mu(A) \leq \alpha \}$$

$$b_n = \inf \{ \mu(B) \mid A_n \subset B \subset B_{n-1}, \mu(B) \geq \alpha \}$$

the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is decreasing,  $\{b_n\}$  is increasing and

$$a_n \leq \alpha \leq b_n \text{ for every } n,$$

6) there exist two sequences  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\eta_n \rightarrow 0$  such that

$$a_n - \varepsilon_n < \mu(A_n) \leq a_n \quad \text{and} \quad b_n \leq \mu(B_n) < b_n + \eta_n.$$

Let  $A = \bigcup A_n$  and  $B = \bigcap B_n$ , then by a slight modification of the first part of this proof, we can show the set  $B \setminus A$  is a  $\mu$ -null set, which implies  $\mu(A) = \mu(B) = \alpha = \inf a_n = \sup b_n$ .

### 5. Invariance between propability Measures

**THEOREM 5.** Let  $g$  and  $\mu$  be  $\sigma$ -additive probability measures on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $\mu$  is non-atomic and if  $g$  is  $\mu$ -invariant, then  $g = \mu$ .

**PROOF.** The range  $R(\mu)$  equals the interval  $[0, 1]$  and there exists a continous function  $f$  with  $g(A) = f(\mu(A))$  for  $A$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . For every  $s, t \geq 0$  with  $s + t \leq 1$ , take  $A, B$  such that  $A \cap B = \phi$ ,  $t = \mu(A)$  and  $s = \mu(B)$ . Then we have  $f(s + t) = f(\mu(A \cup B)) = g(A \cup B) = g(A) + g(B) = f(t) + f(s)$ , that is,  $f$  is a linear function. Since  $f(1) = 1$ , we have  $f(t) = t$ , so  $g(A) = \mu(A)$  for  $A$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

### References

- [ 1 ] N. Dinculeanu, Vector Measures, Pergamon Press, Oxford, London, Edinburgh, 1967.
- [ 2 ] P. R. Halmos, Measure Theory, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York, 1969.
- [ 3 ] M. Sugeno and T. Murofushi, Fuzzy Measure, Nikkan Kogyo Shinbunsha, Tokyo, 1993, (in japanese).

*Department of Control Engineering and Science  
Kyushu Institute of Technology  
Kawazu, Iizuka 820-8502, Japan*